

2005

**2005/5/18**

.....	( )	. -
.....	( )	. -
.....	( )	. -
.....	( )	. -

# الإهداء

إلى روح والدي الحبيب في مثواه... رحمه الله.

إلى نبع العطاء المتدفق الذي لا ينضب... أمي العزيزة.

إلى إخواني جاسر وياسر وباسل الأعزاء وأخواتي العزيزات.

إلى روح الشهيد القائد نايف أبو شرخ وجميع الشهداء الأبطال.

إلى جميع أصدقاء دربي وعلى رأسهم الأسير المناضل إبراهيم حبيشة

إلى جميع الزملاء... احترام وإخلاص.

أهدي هذه الدراسة المتواضعة.

**لهم جميعا هذا الشكر المتواضع عرفانا بالجميل.**

	.1
	.2
	.3
1	:
2	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
11	
12	
15	:
16	: .4
43	:
75	:
76	
76	
76	
77	
79	
80	
80	
81	

82	
84	:
85	: .5
98	:
113	:
114	:
120	:
127	:
128	
142	
b	

77		<b>.1</b>
80		<b>.2</b>
86		<b>.3</b>
87		<b>.4</b>
88		<b>.5</b>
90		<b>.6</b>
91		<b>.7</b>
92		<b>.8</b>
95		<b>.9</b>
96		<b>.10</b>
98		<b>.11</b>
99	Repeated Wilks	Measured Design Lambda
99		Sidak
100	Repeated Wilks	Measured Design Lambda
100		Sidak
		<b>.12</b>
		<b>.13</b>
		<b>.14</b>
		<b>.15</b>

102	t	<b>.16</b>
103	t	<b>.17</b>
104		<b>.18</b>
104	One Way ANOVA	<b>.19</b>
106		<b>.20</b>
107	One Way ANOVA	<b>.21</b>
108	Scheffe	<b>.22</b>
109	t	<b>.23</b>
110		<b>.24</b>
110	One Way ANOVA	<b>.25</b>
111	Scheffe	<b>.26</b>



143	.	<b>.1</b>
144	.	<b>.2</b>
150	.	<b>.3</b>
151	.	<b>.4</b>

:

.1 للإشراف

:

.2 (0.05 =  $\alpha$ )

للإشراف

.3 (0.05 =  $\alpha$ )

للإشراف

.4 (0.05 =  $\alpha$ )

للإشراف

(%20)

(127)

(%5)

(481)

.( )

(589)

(7)

.(0.96)

:

.1

(66.4)

(3.32)

:

( $\alpha=0.05$ )

.2

.3

.4

.5

.6

.7

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

.6

.7

.8

.9

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
-

:

.  
(1997 )

.

" " " "

.(1992 )

.

.

.(1997 )

.(1998 )

.

:

.(1999 )

.(1998 )

-

-

.



(1992 )

.

...

.(1998 )

.

.(2000 )

(%5)

(1967 -1948)

(1948)

( )

(1967)

(1994)

:

.(1998 )

.(1992 )

.(1996 )

.(1986 )

.(1995 )

)

.(1992

(Alfonso, 1981)

(Harris, 1985)

(1996 )

:

.(1984 )

.(www.eshraf-madina.net, 2004)

(1995 )

.(Chapman 1992)

:

1994      1967 1948

1994

:

:

:

:

.1

)

.2

(

:

:

:

:

$(0.05=\alpha)$

$(0.05=\alpha)$

$(0.05=\alpha)$

$(0.05=\alpha)$

$(0.05=\alpha)$

$(0.05=\alpha)$

$(0.05=\alpha)$

$(0.05=\alpha)$

:

:

$(0.05=\alpha)$

.1

$(0.05=\alpha)$

.2

$(0.05=\alpha)$

.3

$(0.05=\alpha)$

.4

$(0.05=\alpha)$

.5

$(0.05=\alpha)$

.6

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

.7

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

.8

.1

.2

.3

.4

.6



) : .7

.(

.(2005 – 2004) : .8

. : .9

) : .10

.(

:

: -

.(1989 )

: -

.(2001 )

**(1992)**

(1977)

(1999 )

: -

.(1996 )

: -

: -

.(1993 )

: -

: -

.(1998 )

: -

: -

: -

: -

.(1994 )

: -

: -

: -

: -

(1999

:

:

.1

.2

.(2001 )

(1991 )

:

.2

(1998 )

:

-

.1

:

. .2

. .3

(2001)

:

-

...

(2001 )

:

-

)

.(1986

:

-



.(1998 )

:

-

.(1991 )

:

-3

.(1983 )

:

\_\_\_\_\_

-

.(2001 )

-

.(1998 )

-

.(Sergiovanni & Starratt, 1998)

:

.

.

:

.

)

.(

.(1986 )

:

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

.(1996 )

:

.(1997 )

)

.(1986

:

.(2001 )

"

.

"

.(1998 )

:

.

)

((1992)

(1996)

(1997)

:

.

:

(1996)

.

(1983)

.

:

(1987)

.

: (1997)

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

.6

.7

(1994 ) Blomberg

:

: (Harris,1985)

)

(1998

(1992)

:

:

.(1986 )

(1983 )



(1985) Harris

(1996

)

-

)

-

.(1990

-

.(1994 )

-

.(Wiles&Bondi, 1980)

:

:

:

-

.(1998 )

-

.(1992 )

:

-

)

.(1994

-

.(1998 1998 )

-

.(1983 )

-

.(1987 )

-

.(1985,Harris)

.(Neagly&Evance, 1980)

-

.

:

.6

.(Oliva, 1984)

:

(1986) Alajmi -

:

(Harris,1980) -

:

:

:

:

(1986) Alajami -

	:	.1
	.	.2
	.	
:	(1987)	-
	.	.1
	.	.2
	.	.3
	.	.4
	.	.5
	.	.6
	.	.7
:	(1997)	
	.	.
	.	.
	.	.
	.	.

.(1989 )

.(1983 )

(Cartwright, et.al, 1999)

(1992)

(1991)

(1992)

(1985) Harris

"

: (1997 ) "

.(1996 )

: (1993)

(1994) Bennett

: (1988) Sergiovanni & Starratt

.1

.2

.3

(Simmonds,1994)

(1996)

: .7

1998)

.(1998 )

( Sergiovanni & Starratt

:

(1996 )



: (1996 )

(1994 1998 )

:

( )

: ( )

.1

.2

)

(

.3

( )

.4

)

(1992      1997      1994      1984  
.(Jones,1990)      (1998)      :

(10-3)      (20-4)  
.(1993      )

1989      )  
.(1993      1994      1996

.(1996 )

)

(1998 1992

(1995) Ovando

.(Tsui,1995)

:

)

(1987

1993

1992

1992

(1986) Coleman

.(1998 1992 1992 )

.(1992 )

:

(1992 )

(1997 )

:

-1

-2

-3

(Siens & Ebmeier,1996)

:  
(1993 1982 )

(1994 1982 )

1990 1992 )

)

(1994

.(1998

:

.(1982 )

.

.

:

:

.

-:

.

-

.

-

:

-

"

" : (2001)



(80)

:

)

(109)

(

Repeated MANOVA

One Way ANOVA

Scheffe Post Hoc Test

:

.1

%.74.9

.2

%.77.5

%.72.6

.3

.4

%.81.1

.5

( ) .6

.7

.8

(2000) •

2000-)

(96)

(19)

(1999

:

(68)

:

:

.(%71.1)

.(%69.8)

(%74)

(%71)

( )

( )

( )

(10-6 ) ( 6 )  
.( 6 ) ( 10 )

:

(2000)

•

(192)

(1268)

(%15)

.(1998-1999)

(9)

(70)

:

(0.05 = $\alpha$ )

.1

.2

.3

/

(1999)

•

(110)

(24)

(55)

(1998)

•

(85)

(202)

.(%40)

(207)

(22)

:

.1

(0.05 =  $\alpha$ )

.2

(0.05 =  $\alpha$ )

.3

:

" (1996)

•

"

(708)

.( )

(198)

:

(80)

:

:

	"	(1996)	•
:		"	
			.1
			.2
:			.3
(97)		(364)	
	:		
	(26)	(53)	
	(0.05 = $\alpha$ )		.1
	:		.2
		:	
		"	(1996)
	(335)	"	•

:

:

"

(1995)

"

:

(522)

(50)

%5

):

(4.68-3.79)

.1

:

.2

(0.05 =  $\alpha$ )

.3

(0.05 =  $\alpha$ )

.4

:

(1995)

•

(50)

)



: (

.(%60)

.(%52-%58)

:

(%57.4)

(%57.2)

(%57.0)

(%55.8)

(%55.2)

(%53.4)

.(%51.4)

(0.05 = $\alpha$ )

:

(1995)

:

(1994)

•

(11)

(31)

(25)

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

.6

.7

.8

.9

.10

.11

.12

.13

**(1994)**

•

(77)

(649)

:

.1

.2

.3

"

**(1994)**

•

"

:

48

:

One Way

:

Scheffe Post Hoc "

ANOVA

:

-

-

)

(

:

(1993)

•

(269)

:

.(%53.92)

(%54)

(%58.4)

:

(%53.4)

(%53.4)

.(%50)

(0.05 =  $\alpha$ )

(1992)

(195)

(144)

(1992)

569

1992 - 1991

142

%75

106

427

%31

134

0.91

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

**(1991)**

1991-

(72)

1990

7751))

(72)

(57)

(400)

(1990)

(30)

(425)

.1

.2

.3

(1991)



(1991)

•

(50)

.

(356)

:

.1

.(%50.8)

.2

(%52.8)

(%54)

:

(50.4)

(50.6)

(50.6)

.(48.4)

(%49)

.

(1990)

•

(25)

.

(20)

.

.

(1987)

•

:

.

.2

(1985)

•

) /  
(81) 1985/84 ( (7743)  
(320) (81)

:

-

-

-

-

-

(1985)

•

(2146)

(60)

(200)

(36)

.1

.2

.3

.4

(Ali, 2000)

•

:

-

-

-

-

:

-

-

-

:

1975

(Smith, 1997)

•

:(Johnson, 1996)

•

(Arizona)

(Haynes, 1996)

•

(15)

:

:

)

.(

/

(Ormston et, al, 1995)

•

(35)

(800)

:

.

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

(38%)

.4

(%1.4)

(%34.9)

(%41.2)

(572)

"

"

(Berry, 1993)

•

t

( )

" " " "

(Elgarten, 1991)

•

(48)

( )

( )

(Smith, 1991)

•

:

:

.1

.2

.3

.4



.5

.6

(22)

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

**(Pajak, 1990)**

•

(1620)

(12)

(300)

:

. (

)

:

(%86)

(%88)

(%89)

(%73)

(%77)

(%80)

(%83)

(%71)

(%73)

(%73)

.(%57)

(%64)

:

.

.1

.

.2

.

.3

**(Al-Silwi, 1990)**

•

(1986-1982)

:

.

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.5

.

**:(Boyd, 1986)**

•

.	.	.1
:	.	.2
.	.	.3
.	.	.4
.	.	.5
.	.	-
:	( )	-
.	.	-
.	.	-
.	<b>:(Rawl, 1989)</b>	•
(79)	.	

(392)

:

:(Vickers, 1988)

•

(450)

(Hello, 1987)

•

(35-23) . (14) (83)

(41-36)

(44)

(35) .

One Way

t

:

Tukey

ANOVA

**:(Madrazo & Hounshell, 1987)**

•

(23)

(143)

(208)

(100)

(25)

(208)

:

.

.

:

.

295

**:(Witkin, 1977)**

•

176

155

(Tennessee) •

(Lovell and Bhelps, 1977)

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

-:

(2001) .1

(1992)

(AL-Silwi, 1995)

(Smith, 1997)

.2

(1994) (1995) (1996) (2000)

(1990) (1991) (1994)

Ormston, ) (1985) (1985)

(1995)

.3

(1996) (1999) (2000)

(1995) (1995) (1996)

(1990) (1992) (1993) (1994)

(1987) (1991) (1991)

.(Rawl, 1989) (Pajak, 1990)

.

:

.1

) :

.2

.( ) (

.3

.4

.( )

•

•

•

-

-

-

•

•

•



(630)

.(2004

)

(9607)

:

:

:

(19)

(481)

%5

(589)

%20

(127)

(589)

.( )

.1

22.1	130		
77.9	459		
50.4	297		
49.6	292		
18.0	106		
72.8	429		
9.2	54		
18.3	108	5	
47.0	277	10-5	
34.6	204	10	
39.9	235		
60.1	354		
39.9	235		
52.1	307		
8.0	47		
100	589		

:

:

.(1995)

(1992)

(2001)

(60)

.(1) )

:

.(2) )

:

(80) ( )

:

:

.9-1

.1

.16-10

.2

.27-17

.3

34-

.4

.28

.56-35

:

:  
.65-57

:  
.80-66

:  
.  
:%50  
.  
:%59.9 -%50  
.  
:%69.9 -%60  
.  
:%79.9 -%70  
.(2000 ) : %80

(7)

((1) )

:

(%75)

.(2) )

:

(0.96)

(2)

.2

0.82	9		
0.81	7		
0.83	11		
0.85	7		
0.92	34		
0.91	22		
0.87	9		
0.86	15		
0.96	80		

0.92-)

(2)

(0.96)

(0.81

:

:

-

((3) )

-

.(4) )

-

-

2005/2/28 2005/2/2

(589)

(608)

-

:

:

:

.( ) :

.( ) :

.( ) :

10

10-5

5 )

:

.(

.( )

:

.( )

:

:

:

)

:

.(

.

:

.

:

.

:

:

:

.1

.

t

.2

.

One Way ANOVA

.3

.

Design Repeated Measured		.4
	Wilk's Lambda	
	Sidak Post Hoc Test	.5
	Scheffe Post Hoc Test	.6



:

:

SPSS

.(Statistical Package for Social Sciences)

:

:

:

:

.

:

:

**.1**

(3)

	80.0	0.95	4.00	9		1
	75.0	1.06	3.75	6		2
	74.2	1.01	3.71	5		3
	72.6	0.97	3.63	2		4
	71.6	0.98	3.58	1		5
	70.6	1.01	3.53	7		6
	70.4	1.03	3.52	3		7
	68.8	1.12	3.44	4		8
	68.2	1.08	3.41	8		9
	72.4	0.66	3.62			

(3)

(3.62)

.(80.0) (68.2)

(72.4)

(4)

.4

	69.6	1.11	3.48	10		1
	64.0	1.19	3.20	16		2
	64.0	1.04	3.20	15		3
	63.0	1.03	3.15	14		4
	59.2	1.07	2.96	11		5
	57.8	1.01	2.89	13		6
	54.0	0.99	2.70	12		7
	61.6	0.72	3.08			

(4)

(3.08)

.(69.6) (54.0)

(61.6)

(5)

.5

	76.0	0.92	3.80	23		1
	75.6	0.98	3.78	26		2
	75.4	0.95	3.77	27		3
	72.2	1.06	3.61	21		4
	71.0	1.07	3.55	25		5
	69.4	1.06	3.47	20		6

	66.4	1.06	3.32	17		7
	65.4	1.10	3.27	22		8
	64.4	1.10	3.22	19		9
	62.6	1.08	3.13	24		10
	62.0	1.06	3.10	18		11
	69.2	0.64	3.46			

(5)

(69.2)

(3.46)

.(76.0) (62.0)

(6)

	70.2	1.00	3.51	31		1
	61.0	1.03	3.05	32		2
	55.8	1.04	2.79	28		3
	53.6	1.00	2.68	34		4
	52.6	1.00	2.63	29		5
	52.2	1.00	2.61	33		6
	51.6	0.99	2.58	30		7
	56.8	0.73	2.84			

(6)

(56.8)

(2.84)

.(70.2) (51.6)

.7

	72.4	0.66	3.62			1
	69.2	0.64	3.46			2
	61.6	0.72	3.08			3
	56.8	0.73	2.84			4

(7)

(69.2)

(3.62= )

.(56.8)

(61.6)

:

.

:

(8)



	75.8	1.04	3.79	37		1
	74.0	1.08	3.70	51		2
	70.4	1.13	3.52	38		3
	69.6	1.01	3.48	49		4
	69.4	1.04	3.47	50		5
	69.4	1.07	3.47	48		6
	69.2	1.00	3.46	54		7
	68.6	1.06	3.43	47		8
	67.2	1.03	3.36	46		9
	67.0	1.05	3.35	45		10

	66.8	1.09	3.34	39		11
	65.2	1.07	3.26	56		12
	64.8	1.10	3.24	41		13
	63.6	1.15	3.18	36		14
	63.4	1.07	3.17	55		15
	63.2	1.21	3.16	40		16
	62.0	1.07	3.10	53		17
	61.0	1.04	3.05	42		18
	60.8	1.29	3.04	35		19
	59.8	1.11	2.99	52	( ... )	20

	57.4	0.98	2.87	43		21
	56.8	1.05	2.84	44		22
	65.8	0.63	3.29			

(8)

(3.29)

.(75.8) (56.8)

(65.8)

(9)

	69.2	1.00	3.46	57		1
	68.4	1.01	3.42	64		2
	67.6	1.06	3.38	63		3
	65.4	1.04	3.27	65		4
	64.0	1.00	3.20	58		5
	60.4	1.03	3.02	62		6
	59.6	1.08	2.98	59		7
	58.0	1.03	2.90	61		8
	54.8	1.02	2.74	60		9
	63.0	0.72	3.15			

(9)

(3.15)

.(69.2) (54.8)

(63.0)

(10)

.10

	77.2	0.94	3.86	79		1
	76.4	0.83	3.82	67		2
	76.2	0.95	3.81	78		3
	75.4	0.89	3.77	66		4
	73.2	0.92	3.66	71		5
	73.0	1.04	3.65	74		6
	71.4	0.99	3.57	76		7

	71.0	1.13	3.55	80		8
	69.0	1.11	3.45	75		9
	67.8	1.10	3.39	73		10
	67.4	0.98	3.37	68		11
	66.2	1.13	3.31	72		12
	65.2	1.06	3.26	77		13
	61.4	1.05	3.07	69		14
	60.4	0.97	3.02	70		15
	70.0	0.59	3.50			

(10)

(3.50)

.(77.2) (60.4)

(70.0)

.(11)

.11

	70.0	0.59	3.50			1
	65.8	0.54	3.29			2
	65.8	0.63	3.29			3
	63.0	0.72	3.15			4
	66.4	0.53	3.32			

(11)

(66.4)

(3.32)

(63.0)

(70.0)

:

:

:

.1

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

:

Lambda Wilk's

Repeated Measured Design

(12)

Repeated Measured Design

.12

Lambda Wilk's

			<b>F</b>	
*0.0001	586	3	275.295	0.415

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

(12)

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

Sidak

Sidak

(13)

Sidak

.13

*0.783	* 0.162	* 0.534		
*0.250	* 0.372-			
*0.621				

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

(13)

: (0.05= $\alpha$ )



(0.05= $\alpha$ )

: .2

Lambda Wilk's

Repeated Measured Design

(14)

Repeated Measured Design

.14

Lambda Wilk's

			<b>F</b>	
*0.0001	586	3	102.235	0.656

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

\*

(14)

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

Sidak

Sidak

(15)

Sidak .15

*0.210-	*0.142	0.0097		
*0.219-	*0.132			
*0.352-				

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

•

: (15)

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

.1

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

.2

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

.3

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

.4

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

.5

:

.3

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

:

(16)

t

t .16

	t	(459= )		(130= )			
0.158	1.414	0.66	3.60	0.65	3.69		
0.104	1.627	0.74	3.06	0.67	3.18		
0.956	-0.055	0.64	3.46	0.66	3.46		
0.223	-1.221	0.74	2.86	0.74	2.77		
0.592	0.537	0.55	3.29	0.55	3.32		
0.928	-0.091	0.60	3.29	0.75	3.28		
0.811	-0.240	0.74	3.16	0.66	3.14		
0.405	0.834	0.59	3.49	0.57	3.54		
0.733	0.341	0.52	3.31	0.54	3.33		

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

(16)

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

: .4

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

:

(17)

t

t .17

	t	(292= )		(297= )			
0.205	-1.269	0.61	3.65	0.70	3.58		
*0.003	2.936	0.71	3.00	0.72	3.17		
0.605	0.517	0.64	3.44	0.63	3.47		
0.442	0.769	0.70	2.81	0.76	2.86		
0.421	0.805	0.52	3.28	0.56	3.31		
0.206	1.267	0.64	3.25	0.62	3.32		
0.120	1.556	0.71	3.11	0.73	3.20		
0.975	0.031	0.59	3.50	0.59	3.51		
0.311	1.014	0.52	3.29	0.54	3.34		

(587)

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

•

(17)

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

:

.5

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

:

One Way ANOVA

(18)

(19)

(54= )		(429= )		(106= )			
0.72	3.59	0.65	3.63	0.63	3.60		
0.79	3.05	0.72	3.07	0.71	3.17		
0.69	3.37	0.64	3.46	0.59	3.50		
0.75	2.75	0.74	2.84	0.69	2.84		
0.61	3.24	0.54	3.30	0.50	3.32		
0.82	3.22	0.63	3.28	0.54	3.35		
0.86	3.10	0.69	3.17	0.75	3.11		
0.70	3.45	0.58	3.52	0.57	3.48		
0.65	3.26	0.52	3.32	0.48	3.34		

One Way ANOVA

	F					
0.867	0.143	0.0625	2	0.125		
		0.438	586	256.590		
			588	256.715		
0.419	0.874	0.463	2	0.925		
		0.530	586	310.781		
			588	311.706		
0.505	0.684	0.282	2	0.564		
		0.412	586	241.714		
			588	242.278		

	<b>F</b>					
0.685	0.380	0.208	2	0.415		
		0.547	586	320.803		
			588	321.218		
0.641	0.445	0.133	2	0.266		
		0.299	586	175.278		
			588	175.544		
0.432	0.841	0.344	2	0.687		
		0.409	586	239.427		
			588	240.114		
0.622	0.475	0.250	2	0.500		
		0.526	586	308.236		
			588	308.736		
0.671	0.400	0.140	2	0.281		
		0.351	586	205.823		
			588	206.104		
0.678	0.389	0.110	2	0.221		
		0.284	586	166.285		
			588	166.506		

(19)

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

: .6

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

:

One Way ANOVA

(20)

(21)

.20

10 (204= )		10-5 (277= )		5 (108= )		
0.68	3.55	0.63	3.65	0.67	3.67	
0.69	2.90	0.72	3.17	0.74	3.22	
0.64	3.46	0.64	3.42	0.63	3.53	
0.67	2.88	0.77	2.77	0.74	2.92	
0.54	3.25	0.55	3.29	0.53	3.38	
0.64	3.23	0.64	3.30	0.60	3.35	
0.71	3.15	0.70	3.12	0.78	3.25	
0.62	3.48	0.65	3.50	0.60	3.55	
0.53	3.28	0.52	3.32	0.53	3.39	

	F				
0.211	1.560	0.680	2	1.359	
		0.436	586	255.356	
			588	256.716	
*0.0001	10.130	5.208	2	10.416	
		0.514	586	301.289	
			588	311.706	
0.344	1.069	0.440	2	0.881	
		0.412	586	241.396	
			588	242.277	
0.107	2.247	1.223	2	2.445	
		0.544	586	318.773	
			588	321.218	
0.167	1.792	0.534	2	1.067	
		0.298	586	174.477	
			588	175.544	
0.206	1.586	0.646	2	1.293	
		0.408	586	238.821	
			588	240.114	
0.267	1.324	0.695	2	1.389	
		0.524	586	307.346	
			588	308.736	
0.673	0.396	0.139	2	0.278	
		0.351	586	205.826	
			588	206.104	
0.217	1.531	0.433	2	0.866	
		0.283	586	165.641	
			588	166.506	

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

•



(21)

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

(22)

Scheffe

Scheffe

.22

10	10-5	5	.11
*0.31	0.053		5
*0.26			10-5
			10

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

•

(22)

(5)

(10)

(5)

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

(10)

(10-5)

(10-5)

:

.7

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

:

(23)

t

	t	(354 = )		(235 = )			
0.781	-0.278	0.67	3.62	0.63	3.6 1		
0.244	-1.166	0.74	3.11	0.70	3.04		
0.253	-1.144	0.65	3.48	0.62	3.42		
0.139	-1.483	0.76	2.87	0.69	2.78		
0.209	-1.257	0.56	3.32	0.51	3.26		
0.114	-1.583	0.64	3.32	0.62	3.23		
0.071	-1.809	0.74	3.20	0.69	3.09		
0.176	-1.354	0.61	3.53	0.55	3.46		
0.103	-1.631	0.55	3.34	0.49	3.27		

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

(23)

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

: .8

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

:

One Way ANOVA

(24)

(24)

(47 = )		(307 = )		(235 = )			
0.63	3.76	0.63	3.64	0.69	3.56		
0.74	3.20	0.67	3.16	0.77	2.96		
0.62	3.41	0.63	3.49	0.65	3.42		
0.70	2.74	0.74	2.89	0.73	2.78		
0.54	3.32	0.53	3.34	0.56	3.23		
0.73	3.26	0.64	3.34	0.60	3.22		
0.74	3.13	0.73	3.18	0.70	3.12		
0.56	3.48	0.59	3.53	0.59	3.48		
0.54	3.31	0.54	3.36	0.51	3.26		

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

One Way ANOVA

	F					
0.139	1.976	0.860	2	1.720		
		0.435	586	254.996		
			588	256.716		
*0.00 4	5.518	2.881	2	5.762		
		0.522	586	305.943		
			588	311.706		
0.353	1.042	0.429	2	0.858		
		0.412	586	241.419		
			588	242.277		
0.167	1.798	0.980	2	1.959		
		0.545	586	319.259		
			588	321.218		

	<b>F</b>					
0.076	2.589	0.769	2	1.538		
		0.297	586	174.007		
			588	175.544		
0.111	2.205	0.897	2	1.793		
		0.407	586	238.321		
			588	240.114		
0.655	0.424	0.223	2	0.446		
		0.526	586	308.289		
			588	308.736		
0.588	0.531	0.187	2	0.373		
		0.351	586	205.731		
			588	206.104		
0.133	2.021	0.570	2	1.141		
		0.282	586	165.366		
			588	166.506		

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

•

(25)

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

(26)

Scheffe

Scheffe

.26

*0.23-	*0.19-		
*0.038-			

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

•

:

(26)

(0.05= $\alpha$ ) .1

.

(0.05= $\alpha$ ) .2

.

(0.05= $\alpha$ ) .3

.



.

.

.

:

:

:

**.1**

:

.1

(3)

(3.62)

(72.4)

(1990) (1995)

(1991) .

(1995) (Pajak,1990)

(1994) (%60)

. .2

(4)

(3.08)

(61.6)



(Pajak,1990)

.3

(5)

(3.46)

(69.2)

(Pajak,1990)

(1991)

(1993)

(1995)

:

(Rawl, 1989)

(Witkin, 1977)

.4

(6)

(56.8)

(2.84)

(1993)

(1992)

(1991)

(Pajak, 1990)

(7)

(65.8)

(3.29)

:

(2000)

(2001)

(Pajak, 1990)

: .2

:

(8)

(3.29)

(65.8)

...

:

(2000)

(2001)

: .3

:

(9)

(63.0)

(3.15)

(69.2) (54.8)

(2000)

(2001)

.

:

.4

:

(10)

(3.50)

(77.2) (60.4)

(70.0)

:

(2001)

(Boyd, 1989)

(Ormston, 1995)

(Pajak, 1990)

.

:

:

:

**.1**

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

:

.

(12)

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

Sidak

: (13)

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

.

:

.2

:

(15)

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

Sidak

.

:

(15)

.1

.2

.3

(2001)

:

.3

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

:

(16)

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

(0.05)

)

(1994)

(2001)

.(

(2000)

(1995)

(1990)

: .4

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

:

(17)

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

(2000)

(2001)

(1996)

(1996)

(1998)

.(Pajak, 1990)

(1990)

(1995)

(1996)

(2000)

:

(1992)

(1993)

(1991)

(Hello, 1987)



.  
:

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

:

(18)

(1996) (2000) :  
(1992) (1995) (1996)  
(2000) :

(Pajak, 1990) (1998)

: .6

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

:

5

(22)

10

10-5

10-5

5

10

(2000)

(2001)

:

(1995)

(1995)

(1996)

(1996)

(1996)

(Pajak, 1990)

(1990)

(1992)

(2000)

:

(Smith, 1991)

(1993)

(1998)

: .7

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

:

(23)

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

: .8

(0.05= $\alpha$ )

:

: (26)

-

-





:

.(1997) . :

:

: .

:

.(1985) .

. :

.

:

.(1998)

.(1986) . :

:

:

: ( ) .

.(1990) .

.

:

.(1988) . :

.

:

.(2001) . :

:

.(1996) . : ( )

. : . :

.(1983)

/

.

:

.(1989) . :

. :  
.(1991) . : / (31)  
39 :  
. (1986)  
- :  
. (1997) .  
: :  
. (1984) .  
: :  
(19-16) . :  
. (1994) . :  
. (1998) . :  
: :  
. (1998)  
( ) . :  
. (1992) .  
: :  
( ) :  
. (1995) .  
25 " " :  
.64-48 . (1996) (117)  
: :  
. (1992)

. :  
 .(1996) . :  
 2 :  
 .(1987) . :  
 ) :  
 .(1998) . : .(  
 .(1999) .  
 :  
 .(1995) . : ( ) .  
 :  
 .(1991) . : ( )  
 :  
 : ( )  
 .(1992) .  
 :  
 .(1998) . :  
 :  
 .(1980) . : ( ) .  
 .73-49 .(1984) (1) :  
 : 2 :  
 .(1997) .



.(1994) . : ( )  
:  
( )  
. (1985) . :  
:  
(2000) . : ( )  
.  
.(1994) . : ( )  
.  
: 6 . :  
(1998)  
:  
(462 -445) .(1993) (4) (21)  
) :  
(1985) . : ( )  
.  
:  
(1979)  
:  
( ) .  
(1993) . :  
:  
.55-36 .(2000) (33) 29

.(1998) . : . :  
( ) :  
. (2001) . :  
2 . :  
. (1986) . :  
. (1977) . :  
:  
( ) .  
. (1994) . :  
:  
. (1991) . : /  
:  
. (1992) . :  
/ **16** . :  
. (1982) . :  
:  
:  
. (1994)  
:  
:  
:  
. (1991)

.8 .17 . . . . .  
 .57-43 .(2000)  
 . . . . .  
 .(1996)  
 .(1995) . . . . . ( . )  
 .(1996) . . . . . ( . )  
 . . . . .  
 .(1999)  
 . . . . . " . . . . .  
 .141-113 .(1996) 67 " . . . . .  
 .( . ) . . . . . ;  
 .(1993) . . . . .  
 . . . . .  
 .(1998)  
 . . . . .  
 .(1994) . . . . . 49 . . . . .  
 . . . . .  
 ( . ) . . . . .  
 .(1987) . . . . .

- :  
.(1992) .

: ( ) . :  
.(2001) .

" " :  
.(1983) . :

/ :  
.171 -151 .(1986) . : 1

: ( ) . :  
.(1996)

: ( ) . :  
.(1998)

: . :  
.(1987) .

: .2 : :  
.(1987)

:  
.  
.159-132 .(1992) 45 7

. :  
.(1990) . :  
( ) :  
.(1999) . :  
:  
: ( ) . :  
.(1997) . :  
:  
) . :  
.(1991) . : ( :  
. : .2 :  
.(1992) :  
( ) :  
.(2000) . :  
) . :  
.(1998) . : ( :  
:  
.(1989) . :  
.(1998) . :

: :  
 .(1983) .  
 : . :  
 .(1997) .  
 . :  
 .178-139 .(1998) 5702 /40 /20 / :  
 14 . . :  
 .49-33 .(1990) 50  
 : :

Alajmi M., **the role of supervisors of instruction in the ahmadi educational area in kuwait.** Ohio University. (1986).

Alfonso, R., G, **Instructional supervision.** Boston: Allyn & Bacon. (1981).

Ali, Mehrunnisa Supervision for teacher development: an alternative model in Pakistan. **International Journal of Educational Development.** Vol 20, Issue 3, (2000). p 177-188.

Al-Silwi, Hamud Abdu. Education planing process in yemen. the second five-yeear plan 1982–1986, **Dissertatation Abstracts International,** No.5, Vol.51. (1990).

Bennett, R., **Personal effectiveness.** london: Kogan Bage ltd. (1994).

Berry,A., Acomparison of the way that woman and men principals supervisors teachers **ERIC.** (1993)

- Boyd R., T., “Improving teacher evaluations, office of educational research and improvement washington (March 1989)” .ERIC document reproduction service. (1989).
- Cartwright R., collins M., Green G., & candy A., **Anchorage of yourself: a competence approach to personal development.** Great Britain: Black Well Publishers, ltd. (1999)
- Chapman E., N., **The First time supervisor.** London: Kogan Bage. (1992).
- Colleman, J., B., Berceived quantities & qualities of supervisory assistance provided to beginning teachers in florida & georgia. ed. d. dissertation, University of Georgia. **Dissertation\_\_Abstracts International**, 47(6), (1986). 1999-a.
- Elgarten, G., H., Testing a new supervisory process for in proving instruction **Journal of curriculum and supervision** 6(2), (1991). 118-129.
- Fradsham H; Astudy of new found land principles of district supervisors, **unpublished doctoral dissertation, doctoral dissertation abstract international.** (1978).
- Hilo, Ghassan, H. **Teacher’s Perceptions Of Instructional Supervisory in The Existing Secondary Schools In The Nablus Educational District, The West Bank.** unpublished doctoral dissertation, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio. (1987).
- Harris, B., M., **Supervisory Behavior In Education.** New Jersey: Brentice – Hall Inc. (1985).

Haynes, Bill, Factors affecting supervisory and management competencies of participants in extension assessment center **Dissertation Abstract International**, **A58** (1996).

Johnson J., A statewide analysis of secondary principal evaluation systems in arizona (High School). **Dissertation Abstracts International**, (1996).A 57/03.

Jones, D., W., The application of clinical supervision as a process for noncertified english language teachers in bolivia. doctoral dissertation, university of Alabama. **Dissertation Abstracts International** 52(2) (1990). 367-a.

Lovell, John., Bhels, Margaret S., "supervision in tennessee as perceived by teacher, principals and supervisors", **Educational Leadership**, Volume 34 Number 3, (1977) pp.226-228.

Madrazo, G., and Hounshel, B., The role expectancy of the science supervision, **Science Education**, 71(1), (1987). 115-123.

Neagley R., L., & Evans N., D., **Hand book for effective supervision in instruction**. New Jersey: Brentice – Hall, Inc. (1980).

Oliva,B.,F., (1984). **Supervision for today's schools**. New york: Longman Inc.

Ormston, M., and Brimble; N.; and Show, M. Inspection and change: Help Or hindrance for the classroom teacher, **British Journal of In-Service Education**, 21(3), (1995). 311-318

Ovando, M., N., **Enhancing teaching and learning through collaborative supervision people and education: the human side**



**of school**, 3(2), 144-155. phd.university of mariland College Park. (1995).

Pajak E. Dimensions of supervision **Educational Leadership** 48(1) P(1990). P.78-81.

Rawl, B.,T., "Aconsensus based determination of relevant tasks for district-wide supervisors of instruction". (ph.d. dissertation, university of south Carolina). **Dissertation Abstracts International**, vol.50-2A, (1989). p: 322.

Saunders, Mikaelennard. **Culthural sensitivity in educational: assessing the learning needs of rural miority adults**. Edd: degree the Univeasity of New Mexico. (1999).

Sergiovanni, T., J., & Starratt, R., J., **Supervision:human perspective**. New York: Mc Graw-Hill. (1988).

Siens, C., M., & Ebmeier, H., Developmental supervision and the reflective thinking of teachers. **Journal of Curriculum and Supervision**, 11(4), (1996). 299-319-a.

Simmonds, T., **Organizational training and development needs**. London: bergamon open learning. (1994).

**Smith Marie**. The study of the effect of training on the participation of parents in educational planing of their Children With Disaplilities. (1991).

Smith, J.,A., "Teacher's attitudes to ward class room observations 95 a means of their evaluations" edd. university of georgia, **Dissertation Abstracts International**, vol. 52-03A, (1997). p:771.

Tsui, A., Exploring collaborative supervision in in-service teacher education. **Journal of Curriculum and Supervision**, 10(4), (1995). 346-371.

Vickers, B., H., “ Acomparison of elementary principals and elementary teacher’s perception of their principals supervisory behaviors” **Dissertation Abstract International**, vol.50-01A, (1988). p:49.

Wiles, J., & Bondi J., **Supervision: a guide to practice**. Ohio: Merrile Publishing, (1980).

Witkin, B., R., "Aproject, program, and staff development" **need assessment**, volume 17 Number 11, pp. (1977), 316-319.

**www.eshraf-madina.net, 2005**

**ملحق 1.** أسماء محكمي أداة الدراسة (الاستبانة) .

.2

.3

.4

( )

.1

. -

. -

. -

. -

. -

. -

. -

.2



... / ... /

:

( )

"

"

:

:

-1

:

-2

:

-3

10  10-5  5 :

-4

:

-5

:

-6

						:
						: -
						.1
						.2
						.3
						.4
						.5
						.6
						.7
						.8
						.9
						: -
						.10
						.11
						.12
						.13
						.14
						.15
						.16
						: -
						.17

						.18
						.19
						.20
						.21
						.22
						.23
						.24
						.25
						.26
						.27
						-
						.28
						.29
						.30
						.31
						.32
						.33

						.34
						.35
						.36
						.37
						.38
						.39
						.40
						.41
						.42
						.43
						.44
						.45
						.46
						.47
						.48
						.49
						.50




						.51
						.52
					. (... )	.53
					( )	.54
						.55
						.56
						.57
						.58
						.59
						.60
						.61
						.62
						.63
						.64
						.65
					-:	.66
						.67
						.68

						.69
						.70
					.( )	.71
						.72
						.73
						.74
						.75
						.76
						.77
						.78
						.79
						.80

An-Najah  
National University  
Deanship of Graduate Studies



جامعة  
النجاح الوطنية  
كلية الدراسات العليا



التاريخ : ٢٠٠٥/١/٢

معالي وزير التربية والتعليم المحترم  
رام الله

الموضوع : تسهيل مهمة الطالب / باسم ممنوح درويش صبح (رقم تسجيل ١٠٢٥١٤٥٧)


الطالب المذكور اعلاه هو احد طلبة الماجستير في جامعة النجاح الوطنية ، تخصص الادارة التربوية في كلية الدراسات العليا، وهو بصدد اعداد الأطروحة الخاصة به، ويحتاج الى توزيع استبانة على السادة مديري ومعلمي المدارس الثانوية الحكومية في محافظات شمال فلسطين ، وذلك لاستكمال اعداد الأطروحة التي عنوانها :  
(تقويم التخطيط التربوي لدى المشرفين التربويين في فلسطين كما يراها مديرو ومعلمو المدارس الثانوية)

يرجى من حضرتكم تسهيل مهمته لجمع البيانات و اتمام مشروع البحث.

شاكرين لكم حسن تعاونكم.

مع وافر الاحترام ،،،

عميد كلية الدراسات العليا  
أ.د. خليل عودة



بالتوازي مع  
الدراسات العليا  
٢٠٠٥

Palestinian National Authority  
Ministry of Education and Higher Education  
Directorate of Education - Nablus



السلطة الوطنية الفلسطينية  
وزارة التربية والتعليم العالي  
مديرية التربية والتعليم - نابلس

الرقم: م.ن/ ٢٠ / ١٤ / ٨٤٧٩  
التاريخ: 2004/ ١ / ١٥م  
الموافق: ٤ / ١٢ / 1425هـ

السيدة/ مديرة مدرسة \_\_\_\_\_ المحترمة

تحية طيبة وبعد،

الموضوع: الدراسة الميدانية للباحث (باسم ممدوح درويش صبح)

الإشارة كتاب معالي وزير التربية والتعليم العالي رقم: و.ت/ 304/46/4 بتاريخ: 2005/1/11

أرجو تسهيل مهمة الباحث الفلسطيني المذكور أعلاه في الدخول إلى مدرستكم لتطبيق استبيانته بعنوان  
تقويم التخطيط التربوي لدى المشرفين التربويين في فلسطين).

أرجو العلم وإجراء اللازم،

مع الاحترام،،،،

مدير التربية والتعليم  
محمد القبج



◆ نسخة/ السيد النائب الفني المحترم.  
◆ نسخة / الملف.

ي.ش. دم  
٢٠٠٤

التعليم العالي الجديد/التعليم العام/الدراسة الميدانية - doc 2005  
Nablus P.O.Box(11) نابلس من ج.ب. (11) Nablus P.O.Box(11) Nablus P.O.Box(11)  
Fax (+972-9-2389495) فاكس (2382820) Tel (+972-9-2380034) هاتف (4-2380034)  
email: edunab@zaytona.com, edunab@hotmail.com